

# Tri-C Faculty Colloquium Proposal Review Rubric

<https://www.tri-c.edu/faculty-central/colloquium.html>

Presentation # \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer # \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluation Criteria	Does Not Meet Expectations	Needs modification(s)	Meets Expectations	Reviewer Notes
<p><b>Topic alignment to selected Colloquium pathway(s).</b></p> <p><i>See Call for Proposals for more information</i></p>	<p>The topic is not current and/or lacks importance or alignment to the selected pathway(s).</p>	<p>The topic may not be current or groundbreaking, but it is relevant to the selected pathway(s) and potential audience.</p>	<p>The topic is cutting- edge, relevant, groundbreaking, and significant to the selected pathway(s) and potential audience.</p>	
<p><b>Title and description</b></p>	<p>Title and description are unclear and/or lack focus.</p>	<p>Title and description are somewhat unclear or may lack sufficient focus.</p>	<p>The title and description are clearly written.</p>	
<p><b>Three clearly stated outcomes</b></p>	<p>No outcomes provided and/or poorly written, not specific or not measurable.</p>	<p>Outcomes are included but may be unclear, inappropriate or not measurable.</p>	<p>Outcomes are clear, appropriate and measurable.</p>	

## Reviewer Recommendation:

1. Does not meet expectations (proposal rejected)
2. Needs modifications (conditional acceptance, modifications required)
3. Meets expectations (proposal accepted)